

You loved your last book...but what are you going to read next?

Using our unique guidance tools, Love**reading** will help you find new books to keep you inspired and entertained.

Opening Extract from...

A Book of Heroes

Or a Sporting Half-Century

Written by Simon Barnes

Published by Short Books

All text is copyright © of the author

This Opening Extract is exclusive to Love**reading**. Please print off and read at your leisure.

A BOOK OF HEROES OR A SPORTING HALF-CENTURY

SIMON BARNES



First published in 2010 by

Short Books 3A Exmouth House Pine Street EC1R 0JH

10987654321

Copyright © Simon Barnes 2010

The author has asserted his right under the Copyright,
Designs and Patents Act 1988 to be identified as the author of this work.
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form, or by any means
(electronic, mechanical, or otherwise) without the prior written permission
of both the copyright owners and the publisher.

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

All images © Getty Images except: Anita Lonsbrough, Mark Todd, Dancing Brave and Vibart © Press Association

ISBN 978-1-907595-01-1

Printed in Great Britain by Clays, Suffolk

Cover design: Two Associates

Sportswriters, sports editors –
a bizarre and intense relationship.
This one's for the best of them:
Norman Fox
Tom Clarke
David Chappell
Keith Blackmore
Tim Hallissey

50 SPORTING HEROES

1960s

- 1. *М.J.К. Sмітн
- 2. Anita Lonsbrough
 - 3. Don Thompson
 - 4. Abebe Bikila
 - 5. Bobby Charlton
 - 6. Vibart
 - 7. George Best
 - 8. Garry Sobers
 - 9. Bob Beamon
 - 10. Tommie Smith

1970s

- 11. Olga Korbut
- 12. Jan Tomaszewski
 - 13. JOHAN CRUYFF
- 14. Muhammad Ali
- 15. COLIN COWDREY
 - 16. ARTHUR ASHE
 - 17. DAVID STEELE
 - 18. Bjorn Borg
- 19. Mike Brearley 20. Fiji

1980s

- 21. IAN BOTHAM
 - 22. Shergar
- 23. TORVILL AND DEAN
- 24. MALCOLM MARSHALL

- 25. Martina Navratilova
 - 26. DAVID GOWER
 - 27. DANCING BRAVE
 - 28. Ben Johnson
 - 29. Joe Montana
 - 30. AYRTON SENNA

1990s

- 31. Steffi Graf
- 32. ERIC CANTONA
- 33. FLORENCE GRIFFITH-JOYNER
 - 34. Mike Atherton
 - 35. Frankie Dettori
 - 36. Pete Sampras
 - 37. Mark Todd
 - 38. Tim Henman
 - 39. Sanath Jayasuriya
 - 40. Katarina Witt

2000s

- 41. Steve Redgrave
- 42. Roger Federer
- 43. JONNY WILKINSON
- 44. Andrew Flintoff
 - 45. Shane Warne
 - 46. Fu Mingxia
- 47. David Beckham
- 48. Ellen MacArthur
 - 49. Usain Bolt
- 50. Yelena Isinbayeva

1960s

1.*M.J.K. Smith



M.J.K. SMITH OF WARWICKSHIRE and England was my first hero. To this day I have no real idea why. After all, he was never a great cricketer. The election just fell on him. He was there when a vacuum needed to be filled. It certainly wasn't because I saw him as a superman. Right from the first, I was aware of his fallibility: and his every failure caused me a strange, subtle pain. Perhaps the reason I chose Smith – perhaps the reason anybody chooses anybody as a hero – is to know pain. To understand failure. To know sadness. To come to terms with the world's imperfections.

I used to go to the cricket at Edgbaston with my grand-father, day after day of endless sunlit summers, catching the bus at the top of Vicarage Road, King's Heath with our sand-wiches neatly parcelled in my grandfather's leather valise. I was about eight when we started. Of course, all the cricketers we saw on those perfect days — days without the least scintilla of boredom — were heroes of a kind: giants in white clothing with an elevated calling, living the brave life in pursuit of runs and wickets and glory, men to whom self-doubt was a stranger.

Smith was their captain: captain of Warwickshire, going on to become captain of England. That's why he had an asterisk before his name. Perhaps it was the asterisk that caused me to single him out: I felt under an obligation to throw in my lot with a person so obviously selected for me. But Smith was not an imposing figure, nor, it must be said, particularly successful. He wore wire-framed glasses that tried to be invisible but failed. These, combined with an awkward smile, made him different from the sculpted stars of *Tiger* comic.

But he was my hero, all the same. Not because I overrated

BOOK OF HEROES

him: but because I had chosen him. I didn't try to be like him. I didn't think he was a god. I didn't think he was better than everybody else: I just hoped it would turn out that way. Some mysterious process had made him my representative. As a result of this, his successes were my successes: his failures mine.

The notion of the hero has been debased, especially in sport. The term has come to imply uncritical admiration, even worship. It tends to mean "role model", a hideous term, one that seems to insist that it is a child's duty to be as much like his hero as possible: willingly losing his identity in the profound need to be someone else. This is not a legitimate process: it is a personality disorder. And I don't think it actually happens to anyone without a personality disorder: the lie of the role model is a convention that sport, forever puffed up with pride, has invented to boost its own glory. The truth is that a hero is someone who helps you to understand life: helps you to understand how better to enjoy life, and how better to endure it. That is as true of heroes in sport as it is of heroes in fiction and mythology, and it is why heroes matter throughout our lives. Heroes play a large part in the life of a child, but they continue to matter as we grow up, in ways that constantly change and develop. A grown-up's hero is very different from the heroes of children and adolescents, because we slowly learn that heroes and heroism are far more complex than we had supposed. Take Oedipus, for example. Oedipus is a hero all right. He is the hero of his own myth, and of the plays written about him. Oedipus tells us many difficult and profound things. Oedipus matters. But no one has suggested that Oedipus - given to road rage, lechery, power-mania and

self-harm – is a role model. He is a much more complex figure than that: and so, for that matter, was M.J.K. Smith. So are all other heroes, and so are the relationships we have with them: vivid, intense, revealing, often painful – and of course, more or less by definition, one-sided.

Smith was nearly great. There is glory in this: also a whiff of tragedy. He was one hell of a games player. He was the last of the double internationals, if you restrict the definition to major sports and reckon it by the date of the last appearance for England. He played one rugby match for England, against Wales in 1956; he played 50 times for the England cricket team, 25 of them as captain, last appearing in 1972. He was a batsman who rebelled against the classical technique of offside strokeplay and hit the ball the other way, to leg, to what he called "the man's side". He would fetch the ball from outside off-stump with pulls and sweeps: a technique that became a new orthodoxy as the game changed. Smith was both a throwback, then, and a man ahead of his time. He was good, too: in 1959, he scored 3,249 runs in the first-class cricket season, unthinkable now. He made more than 2,000 runs in six consecutive seasons. He liked to field close and courageous at short-leg: I remember him plucking the ball right off the face of the bat, plunging to the ground to astonished gasps from the crowd - yes, a throwback indeed, for there were always crowds when my grandfather and I went to county cricket.

So there was much to admire. I used to steal the daily paper from my parents and scan the county cricket scoreboards, as inky-fingered boys had done for generations, and, like them, I found a brief taste of heaven or hell in what I found there as

BOOK OF HEROES

I looked for Warwickshire, and for *M.J.K. Smith. He let me down, though, far too often. He let me down cruelly. I would note with dismay that he always seemed to score a century in matches that didn't matter, picnic occasions like Gentlemen v Players, the now obsolete fixture in which amateurs played the professionals in a genteel extension of the class war.

Smith had a devastating run of low scores in the 60s, vulnerable against pace at the start of an innings like so many other nearly-but-not-quiters. It saddened me that the heroes of my friends always did better than M.J.K. I was walking round the school playing field one day with my friends Stuart Barnett and Ian Hart, listening to the cricket on the radio. The year was 1965. England were playing New Zealand. Smith came out to bat, and was out for a duck, lbw to Richard Collinge. "A captain's innings!" Stuart mocked. Stuart was cruel; but then life is cruel, and so is sport. If it wasn't cruel, we wouldn't watch it. And if heroes didn't have the capacity to cause us pain, we wouldn't bother with them. I rather think I knew that then, but it wasn't much consolation.